

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/723,940	11/26/2003	Sherry Leonard	VARD-07989	4237
7	590 02/07/2006		EXAMINER	
MEDLEN & CARROLL, LLP			STANDLEY, STEVEN H	
Suite 350				
101 Howard Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Francisco, CA 94105			1649	

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/723,940	LEONARD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Steven H. Standley	1649				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·				
8) Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) ☐ Notice of Informal P 6) ☐ Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office	-,					

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-6, and 22-25 drawn to a method of identifying polymorphisms in the alpha-7 allele that are associated with schizophrenia, classified in

class 435, subclass 6.

II. Claims 7-8, drawn to a method of diagnosing schizophrenia, classified in

class 435, subclass 6.

III. Claims 9-15, drawn to a kit, classified in class 536, subclass 24.5.

IV. Claims 16-21, drawn to a method of screening compounds, classified in

class 435, subclass 7.2.

Inventions III versus I-II, and IV are related as product and process of use. The

inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1)

the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially

different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different

process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the kit contains

reagents for detecting polymorphisms in the alpha-7 allele. The groups I-II, and IV use

regents to detect polymorphisms in methods of identifying and diagnosing

schizophrenia. The product can also be used in a microarray in a method of identifying

markers for cancer.

Art Unit: 1649

Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in the M.P.E.P. § 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to different methods, restriction is deemed to be proper because these methods appear to constitute distinct inventions for the following reasons: Groups I and II are directed to methods that are distinct both physically and functionally. Invention group I is a method of finding an association between a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) and schizophrenia, whereas group II is a method of diagnosing a patient using the results of the first method. The methods have different steps and are directed at different goals. Therefore a search and examination of the methods of group I and group II would constitute an undue burden, since the searches are entirely different and not coextensive, and the subject matter divergent.

Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in the M.P.E.P. § 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to different methods, restriction is deemed to be proper because these methods appear to constitute distinct inventions for the following reasons: Groups I-II and group IV are directed to methods that are distinct both physically and functionally. Invention group I is a method of finding an association between SNP and schizophrenia and group II is a method of diagnosing schizophrenia, whereas group IV is a method of identifying compounds that regulate expression of alpha-7 polymorphisms. The methods have different goals and different steps and use different products. Therefore a search and examination of the methods of group I and group II would constitute an undue burden,

Art Unit: 1649

since the searches are entirely different and not coextensive, and the subject matter divergent.

## Species election

Should applicant choose invention group I, a further election of species is required. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: pcr, heteroduplex analysis, single strand conformational analysis, denaturing hplc, ligase chain reaction comparative genome hybridization, Southern blotting, and sequencing of claim 5 and 23.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, "detecting the presence" is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/723,940 Page 5

Art Unit: 1649

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Standley whose telephone number is **(571) 272-3432**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres can be reached on **(571) 272-0867**.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **703-872-9306**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steve Standley, Ph.D.

1/23/05

LORRAINE SPECTOR
PRIMARY EXAMINER